

A Photographic Guide to the Evaluation of Hazard Trees in Urban Areas **TREE HAZARD EVALUATION FORM 2nd Edition**

Site/Address:	HAZARD RATING:
Map/Location:	Follows + + =
Owner: public private unknown other	Failure + Size + Target = Hazard Potential of part Rating Rating
Date: Inspector:	Immediate action needed
Date of last inspection:	Needs further inspection
TREE CHARACTERISTICS	Dead tree
Tree #: Species:	Charles are an except efficient (ETO-FEO WYOR).
DBH: # of trunks: Height: Spread:	723-ERG
Form: ☐ generally symmetric ☐ minor asymmetry ☐ major asymmetry ☐ stump sprout	□ stag-headed
Crown class: ☐ dominant ☐ co-dominant ☐ intermediate ☐ suppressed	
Live crown ratio: % Age class:youngsemi-mature mature over-	-mature/senescent
Pruning history: □ crown cleaned □ excessively thinned □ topped □ crown raised □ pollarded	
none multiple pruning events Approx. dates:	
Special Value: □ specimen □ heritage/historic □ wildlife □ unusual □ street tree □ screen [□ shade □ indigenous □ protected by gov. agency
TREE HEALTH	
	obstructions:
Foliage density: □ normal □ sparse Leaf size: □ normal □ small □ stake	es □ wire/ties □ signs □ cables
Annual shoot growth: ☐ excellent ☐ average ☐ poor Twig Dieback? Y N ☐ curb	/pavement
	r
Vigor class: □ excellent □ average □ fair □ poor	
Major pests/diseases:	junanum-menendi.
SITE CONDITIONS	
	ural woodland\forest
Landscape type: □ parkway □ raised bed □ container □ mound □ lawn □ shrub b	
Irrigation: □ none □ adequate □ inadequate □ excessive □ trunk wettled	a a second and a second a second and a second a second and a second a second and a second and a second and a
Recent site disturbance? Y N construction soil disturbance grade change lin	ne clearing
% dripline paved: 0% 10-25% 25-50% 50-75% 75-100% Paver	ment lifted? Y N
% dripline w/ fill soil: 0% 10-25% 25-50% 50-75% 75-100%	
% dripline grade lowered: 0% 10-25% 25-50% 50-75% 75-100%	
Soil problems: drainage shallow compacted droughty saline alkaline acidic clay expansive slope aspect:	small volume \square disease center \square history of fail
Obstructions: □ lights □ signage □ line-of-sight □ view □ overhead lines □ underground	utilities 🗆 traffic 🗆 adjacent veg. 🗆
Exposure to wind: □ single tree □ below canopy □ above canopy □ recently exposed □ wind	lward, canopy edge . area prone to windthrow
Prevailing wind direction: Occurrence of snow/ice storms □ never □ selde	om 🗆 regularly
TARGET	
Use Under Tree: ☐ building ☐ parking ☐ traffic ☐ pedestrian ☐ recreation ☐ landscape ☐	hardscape small features utility lines
Can target be moved? Y N Can use be restricted? Y N	- management of the state of th
Occupancy: occasional use intermittent use frequent use constant use	

The International Society of Arboriculture assumes no responsibility for conclusions or recommendations derived from use of this form.

TREE DEFECTS				
ROOT DEFECTS:				
	ushroom/conk/bracket presen	d V N ID:		
				,
Exposed roots: severe		Jndermined: □ severe □		
Root pruned: distant	ce from trunk Root area a	affected:% But	tress wounded: Y N V	Vhen:
Restricted root area: sever	re 🗆 moderate 🗆 low	Potential for root failure:	□ severe □ moderate □	□low
LEAN: deg. from ver	rtical 🗆 natural 🗆 unnat	tural Self-corrected Se	oil heaving: Y N	
Decay in plane of lean: Y N				
Compounding factors:			Lean severity: sev	vere □ moderate □ low
CROWN DEFECTS: Indicate pres	sence of individual defects and	d rate their severity (s = severe,	m = moderate, I = low)	
DEFECT	ROOT CROWN	TRUNK	SCAFFOLDS	BRANCHES
Poor taper				
Bow, sweep	19			1000
Codominants/forks		1		
Multiple attachments				
Included bark				0.000
Excessive end weight				moisil galengel
Cracks/splits				
Hangers				
Girdling			7	and the second
Wounds/seam				
Decay				
Cavity				
Conks/mushrooms/bracket				24 min to the second control of
Bleeding/sap flow				An and
Loose/cracked bark				Production of the second secon
Nesting hole/bee hive				
Deadwood/stubs				TO - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 1
Borers/termites/ants				
Cankers/galls/burls				
Previous failure				2000 70000 3000
HAZARD RATING				
Tree part most likely to fail:				; 2 - medium; 3 - high; 4 - severe
Inspection period: a	annual biannual	other		cm); 2 - 6-18" (15-45 cm);
Failure Potential + Size of Part +	Target Rating = Hazard Rating			45-75 cm); 4 - >30" (75 cm)
	=			onal use; 2 intermittent use; nt use; 4 - constant use
HAZARD ABATEMENT				it use, 4 - constant use
		□ crown clean □ thin □ rais		
Cable/Brace:		In	spect further: root crown	☐ decay ☐ aerial ☐ monito
Remove tree: Y N Repl	ace? Y N Move targe	t: Y N Other:		ydb (1
Effect on adjacent trees:	none 🗆 evaluate			
Notification: ☐ owner ☐ m	anager	Date:		Separated their of autoopic
COMMENTS	NAMES			Properties base gallerent